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The structures of octafluorocyclobutane and of methylcyclobutanc have been investigated by electron diffraction using both the radial distribution and visual correlation methods. The results indicate that $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ has a non-planar carbon ring and the following parameters: $C-F=1.33 \pm 0.02 \AA, C-C=1.60 \pm 0.04 \AA .,<F C F=109.5 \pm 3^{\circ}, \alpha$ (the angle between planes which bisect opposite $\mathrm{CF}^{7}$ groups $)=160 \pm 4^{\circ}$; for such a model the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle is about $89^{\circ}$. In methylcyclobutane, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance in the ring has been found to be $1.56 \pm 0.03 \AA$. and the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance, $1.555 \pm 0.02 \AA$. $\beta$, which is defined as the angle between the side chain bond direction and the bisector of the adjoining $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle in the ring, is assigned the value $130 \pm \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$, corresponding to a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond angle of approxinnately $118^{\circ}$.

## Introduction

The preliminary results of an electron diffraction investigation of the structure of octafluorocyclobutane have been described in a previous article. ${ }^{2}$ It is the purpose of this paper to present the final results of this investigation and the results of the study of methylcyclobutane.

An electron diffraction itnvestigation of hexafluoropropene ${ }^{3}$ has led to tle proposal of a strained configuration for this molecule; this apparently results from repulsions between fluorine atoms which are attached to different carbon atoms. It appeared possible that the fluorine atoms in $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ would exhibit the sane property and that the effect could be experimentally detectable. It is also significant that Edgell and Weiblen ${ }^{\ddagger}$ have raised some doubt as to the correctness of the interpretation of spectroscopic data on the basis of a $\mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~b}}$, configuration as given by Claassen. ${ }^{\text {b }}$

The conclusive evidence, obtained in this investigation, for a non-planar carbon ring and an unusually long $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distance in the perfluoro derivative of cyclobutane led to the study of methyleyclobutane; it seemed possible that the carbon ring in the metlyy derivative might also be non-planar. A theoretical intensity curve was calculated for a non-planar model of methylenecyclobutane in order to make a comparison with the published data on this compound. ${ }^{6.7}$ The results showed that a non-planar structure is also compatible with experimental data, indicating that the exact structural nature of the ring in this compound is difficult to determine by electron diffraction. Calculations for methylcyclobutane showed that the intensity pattern was more sensitive to the folding paraneter and its study was undertaken in the hope of obtaining information on the ring structure and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances.

## Experimental

The sample of octafluorocyclobntane (1).p. $-6.1^{\circ}$ to $-6.0^{\circ}$ ) was obtainced from Drs. Hals and Pcarlson of the Minneso ta Mining and Manufacturing Company; the cstimated purity was $99.5 \%$ on the basis of infrared spectroscopy.

[^0]The sample of methyleydobutane was prepared in the NACA Cleveland Laboratories and nade avatlable by Dr Greenlce of The Ohio State University. The constants given for the sample are: f.p. $-161.51^{\circ}$, b.p. $36.98^{\circ}$ ( 750 mnn.) and $37.18^{\circ}$ ( 760 mm.$\left.\right), n^{25} \mathrm{D} 1.3878$.

Electron diffraction photographs were prepared in the customary manner ${ }^{8}$ using an apparatus built hy Dr. H. J. Ycarian of the Purdue Physics Department. The camera distance was about 10.8 cm . and the electron wave length, as detcrmined from photographs of zinc oxide, was about $10.055 \AA$. The recorded pattern for octafluorocvelobutane extended to a $q$ value of about 75 and the pattern for methylcyclobutane to a $q$ value of about 93 .

Octafluorocyclobutane.-The radial distribution method ${ }^{9: 10}$ and the visual correlation method ${ }^{8,11}$ were used in the interpretation of the recorded pattern. The radial disribution function was calculated from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r D(r)=\sum_{q=1,2 \ldots}^{q_{\max }} I(q) \exp \left(-b q^{2}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi q r}{10}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the use of punched cards. ${ }^{?} \quad l(g)$ is the visual intensity curve (curve VIS, Fig. 4), which is drawn assuming no falling off of intensity with increasing $g$. The constant $b$ was chosen so that $\exp \left(-b q^{2}\right)=0.10$ at $q=100$. The resulting curve (Fig. 3) shows najor peaks at $1.34,2.47$ and $3.38 \AA$.

The theoretical intensity curves were calculated from the simplified expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(q)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{Z_{i} Z_{j}}{r_{i j}} \sin \left(\frac{\pi \varphi_{i j}}{10}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Curves werce calculated for planar models of type A, Fig. 1; in these models the FCF angle is bisected by the plane of the carbon ring and the symmetry is that of the $\mathrm{D}_{41}$ point group. The scalc of all models was fixed by choosing $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{F}=1.33 \AA$. while the C -C distance was varied from 1.48 to $1.64 \AA$. and the FCF angle was varied fron 104.5 to $114.5^{\circ} \mathrm{in}$ incrennents of $2.5^{\circ}$.

Intensity curves for representative planar models are shown in Fig. 3; the paranneters for these models are given in Fig. 2. Most of these curves are in such complete disagreement with the visual curve (curve VIS, Fig. 4) that a detailed correlation is impossible. Curves $\mathrm{A}_{180}, \mathrm{C}_{180}, \mathrm{D}_{780}$ and $F_{\text {mon }}$ fall into this category. Curves $P_{\text {mand }} R_{150}$ show somewhat better agrecment bit retain ccrtain obvious discrepancics, cog., the occurrence of two maxima at $q$ values of approximately 30 and 35 which does not correspond to the fourth maximum and its shelf-like formation.

Curves for planar models for which the PCF angle was extended to either 104.5 or $114.5^{\circ}$ were in even worse agreement with the visual pattern.

The disagrement between the radial distribution curve and the best planar model is indicated in Fig. 3 by lines drawn beneath the curve and labelled "planar." (The lengths of these lines are proportional to $n Z_{i} Z_{j}$.) The best planar model would give rise to a radial distribution which
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Fig. 1.-Types of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ models constructed.


Fig. 2.-Parameter ranges studied for $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$.
would have a much different appearance than the calculated curve in the region beyond $3 \AA$.

Non-planar models were constructed from planar models in the following way: One pair of diametrically opposite carbon atoms was raised above the plane while the other pair was lowered to the same extent, keeping the $\mathrm{CF}_{2}$ groups oriented so that a plane through the carbon atom of this group and the two adjacent carbon atoms bisects the FCF angle of the $\mathrm{CF}_{2}$ group. These models have the symmetry $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$. The fourth parameter necessary to fix the structure of non-planar models was chosen as $\alpha$, the angle between planes which bisect opposite $\mathrm{CF}_{2}$ groups.


Fig. 3.-Radial distribution function and theoretical intensity curves for planar models of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$.

This parameter was varied in $5^{\circ}$ increments from 180 (planar model) to $155^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance was varied in steps of $0.02 \AA$. from 1.56 to $1.64 \AA$. and the $F C F$ angle varied from 107 to $112^{\circ}$ as shown in the parameter chart (Fig. 2).

Intensity curves for models in which $\alpha$ is $160^{\circ}$ are shown in Fig. 4; these are labeled according to the parameter chart. Intensity curves for models in which angle $\alpha$ is $5^{\circ}$ or more removed from this value are in complete disagreement with the visual curve and are not shown.

Of the curves calculated, curve $K_{160}$ was found to reproduce best the appearance of the observed pattern. The characteristic appearance of the fourth maxinum, the relative depths of the fifth and sixth minima, and the asymmetry of the sixth maximum are all in close agreement with the visual interpretation. Intensity curves $D, E, Q$ and $R$ are not as accurate representations of the visual curve but do not show discrepancies sufficient to warrant their exclusion as acceptable nodels. In $Q, R$ and $E$, the fifth and sixth minima are significantly different in depth but, keeping in mind the subjective errors in estimating the relative intensities, it appcared unjustifiable to exclude these models on this basis only.

Models J and P were rejected mainly on the basis of the appearance of the sixth maximum.
Models $L$ and $F$ are unacceptable because of the roundness of the fourth maximum; $F$ shows further disagreement in the appearance of the pattern in the region of the sixth maximum.

The region of acceptable models is indicated in Fig. 2 by the dotted line.

Planar models of type B, Fig. 3, were also constructed. In these models the plane of the carbon ring does not bisect the FCF angle. Such models are not compatible with the radial distribution eurve and were not considered in the exhaustive correlation procedure.


Fig. 4.-Visnal eurve and theoretical intensity curves for non-planar models of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$.

The effects of vibrations of the atoms werc considered by caleulation of a large number of curves for mon-rigid models. A variety of different values were assumed for the damping factors and, in addition, planar models were tried in which special consideration was given to the mode of vibration which deforms the planar molccule into the non-planar molecule. The results of these calculations do not change in any way the conclusions which were reached on the basis of rigid models.

The results of the quantitative correlation for model $\mathrm{K}_{160}$ are summarized in Table I. Measurements on the second, fourth and seventh maxima and on the third minimum were not regarded as reliable and hence onitted in calculating the mean $q$ (calcd.)/q(obsd.).

The final bonded C-F distances obtained from acceptable models ranged from 1.32 to $1.34 \AA$.; the bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distanecs ranged from 1.58 to $1.63 \AA$. and the shortest distance between fluorinc atoms attached to different carbon atoms varied from 2.70 to $2.73 \AA$. The best model is chosen as one with a non-planar carbon ring with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}=1.33 \pm 0.02$ $\AA ., \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=1.60 \pm 0.04 \AA ., \angle \mathrm{FCF}=109.5 \pm 3^{\circ}$ and $\alpha=$ $160 \pm 4^{\circ}$. For this model the $\angle C C C$ is nearly $89^{\circ}$. The agreement of this model with the radial distribution curve is indicated in Fig. 3 by the vertical lines labeled "nonplanar."

Methylcyclobutane.-The radial distribution function was calculated from the visual curve (curve VIS, Fig. 7) by means of equation 1 ; the constant $b$ was chosen so that the damping terin $\left(\exp \left(-b q^{2}\right)\right)$ was 0.10 at $q=100$. Major peaks in the curve (Fig. 6) oceur att $1.55,2.18$ and $2.66 \hat{\&}$.

Table I
Electron Diffraction Data for Octafluorocyclobutane

| Maximum | Minimum | 80 | Q/go ( $\mathrm{K}_{180}{ }^{\text {0 }}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | 19.07 | (0.986) |
|  | 3 | 22.69 | (0.983) |
| 3 |  | 24.92 | 1.009 |
|  | 4 | 27.69 | 1.002 |
| 4a |  | 30.02 | . . |
| 4b) (shelf) |  | 37.37 |  |
|  | 5 | 41.04 | 1.005 |
| $j$ |  | 42.87 | 0.997 |
|  | 6 | 45.74 | 0.986 |
| 1 1) |  | 48.73 | 1.007 |
|  | 7 | 的. 51 | 1.018 |
| 7 |  | ir) 4: | 1.020 |
|  | * | (23) (0) | 1.018 |
| $\beta$ |  | (if). +1 | 1.010 |
|  | ! | 71.18 | 1.002 |
| 9 |  | 75. 57 | 0.998 |
|  |  | Mcan | 1.005 |
|  |  | Mean dev. | 0.0017 |

The peak at $1.55 \dot{\mathrm{~A}}$. corresponds to the average bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance and the one at $2.18 \AA$. to the cross-ring distance and the shortest non-bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance; the peak at $2.66 \AA$. is identified with the shortest non-bonded distance between the carbon of the methyl group and the ring carbon atoms. A fourth peak at $1.12 \AA$. corresponds to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance but it is not as reliable as the others. The vertical lines drawn beneath the curve correspond to the interatomic clistances in one of the best models $\left(\mathrm{H}_{160}\right)$ as determined by the correlation method.

Theoretical intensity curves were obtained from the sintplified scattering formula (2) in which the term $e^{-b_{i j} q^{2}}$ was included. The constant $b_{i j}$ in the vibration factor was assigned the value 0.00018 for bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ terms, 0.0003 for non-bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ terms and zero for all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ terns. Initial culculations showed that $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ terms, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances which change with rotation of the methyl group, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances involving the side-chain carbon and the hydrogens on the ring make a negligible contribution to the intensity puttern; these were subsequently omitted from the intensity calculations. The exact values of the vibration factors assigned to the included $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ terms are not crucial since these ternts have a relatively small effect on the intensity curve.

In order to limit the number of parameters the following assumptions were made about the structure: The $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ arigle on the ring was assumed to be $116^{\circ}$ and the plane of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ groups taken to be perpendicular to the plane of the ring and bisecting the ring angles. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances and angles in the ring were assumed equal and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond distances taken to be $1.09 \AA$.

There are four remaining parameters necessary to fix the structure. These were chosen as the bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance, the side-chain $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance, the angle of folding of the ring $\alpha$, and angle $\beta$ (see Fig. 5) which is the angle between the side-chain bond direction and the line bisecting the adjoining $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ angle in the ring. For non-planar models, a fifth parameter is necessary to fix the structure. This is illustrated in Fig. 5 in which are shown the two types of nonplanar nodels which can be drawn. Only one important distance is affected by the type of folding and, as expected, intensity curves obtained from Type I and Type II model: showed only minor differences. The comprehensive correlation method was subsequently restricted to Type I models since this type seems more plausible on the basis of expected steric factors.

Curves were calculated for planar models keeping the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ side-chain distance fixed at $1.54 \AA$. and varying the two parameters $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring) and $\beta$ as shown in Fig. 5 . Angle $\alpha$ was then varied in $10^{\circ}$ increments, from 180 to $140^{\circ}$ for the listed values of $\beta$ and with all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances equal to $1.54 \AA$ Non-planar models were calculated for the other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ ratios but it became apparent that these would nut viedd additional information.
NOM-PLANARII

Fig. 5.-Types of models constructed and parameter ranges studied for methylcyclobutane.

Theoretical curves for a few representative planar models are shown in Fig. 6. Curves qualitatively compatible with the visual pattern were obtained for models $B, G, M$ and $R$; of these, $G$ and $M$ give the best agreement. Curve B does not have a well-resolved fourth maximum and curve R shows a sixth maximum which is asymmetric; these discrepancies are not large enough, however, to validate the rejection of these models.

All other planar models can be rejected mainly on the basis of the fourth or the seventh maximum which are the structure sensitive features of the pattern. Curves from models with $\beta=120^{\circ}$ are all similar to $\mathrm{F}_{180}$ (Fig. 6) and show a fourth minimum which is too deep, a fourth maximum which is too weak and a seventh peak which is too sharp and narrow.

Curves C and H both show a well-defined doublet in place of the seventh maximum; it is possible, however, that this defect could be remedied by a different choice of damping factors.

Curves N and S illustrate the effect of increasing the angle $\beta$ to $135^{\circ}$; all such intensity curves show the seventh maximum as an unsymmetrical doublet with the inner member weaker than the outer; this feature is clearly incompatible with the visual pattern and it seems unlikely that it could be corrected by changing the values of the damping factors.

The effect on the intensity pattern of folding the carbon ring is illustrated in Fig. 7; the value of $\alpha$ is indicated by a subscript. (Models are shown for only one C-C distance ratio since these illustrate adequately the general trend observed in curves calculated for other ratios.) Usually the most sensitive feature is the seventh maximum. The effect is seen from a comparison of the different H curves. Model $\mathrm{H}_{180}$ was rejected, as previously mentioned, because of the doublet appearance of the seventh maximum. Folding the ring decreases the intensity of the outer half of the doublet making curves $\mathrm{H}_{180}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{160}$ compatible with the visual curve. The other changes which occur as the ring is folded such as the changes in the relative intensities of the third and fifth maxima and the changes in the relative depths of the sixth, seventh and eighth minima are not large enough to justify a choice between these models. In $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I40 }}$, the shape of the seventh maximum is incorrect, but this point is not significant enough to reject this model,


Fig. 6.-Radial distribution function and theoretical intensity curves for planar models of methylcyclobutane.


Fig. 7.-Visual curve and theoretical intensity curves for non-planar models of methylcyclobutane.
 discrepancy in the region of the seventh maximunn.

Model $I_{\text {ba }}$ is the only model with $\beta=139^{\circ}$ and with all C C distances cqual to $1.5+\bar{A}$. which wats aceefted. If is probable that other acceptable non-planar nodels with $3=$ $1.30^{\circ}$ could tha found for different values of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring)/ C-C (side-chatin) ratio. Curves $I_{1 \text { ro, }} I_{\text {riol }}$ and $1_{\text {ato }}$ are not shown but can be safely rejected on the basis of the fourth and seventh maxima.

Curve J is typical of models having $\beta=140^{\circ}$ and can be rejected with certainty bransu of several bobions iliserepancies.

Quantitative comparisons are given in Table II for one of the best planar models and alisn for one of the best nonplanar models. Measmrements on the first maximum and on the second minimum are unreliable becanse these features are somewhat diffuse and occur in a region of rapidly falling background: the seventh maximum is broad and asymmetric leading to large uncertainties in the estimation of its true position and in determining the corresponding $q$-value from the theoretical intensity curve.

Tabie II

| Electron | Diffraction Data |  | for Methylcyclobutane |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maxi- } \\ & \text { Mumm } \end{aligned}$ | Minininin | 9 | $4 / 900\left(H_{160}\right)$ | $q / q 0\left(\mathrm{M}_{180}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| 1 |  | 18.76 | $(0.996)$ | ( 1.996 ) |
|  | 2 | 25.97 | (. 946 ) | ( . 900) |
| 2 |  | 29.86 | . 998 | . 978 |
|  | 3 | 3.5 .46 | . 981 | . 964 |
| 3 |  | 39.85 | 1.016 | 1.004 |
|  | 4 | 43.80 | 1.036 | 1.036 |
| 4 |  | 46.811 | 0.995 | 0.993 |
|  | \%) | 50.70 | 0.996 | . 996 |
| $\overline{5}$ |  | 55.45 | 1.010 | . 992 |
|  | 6 | 61.78 | 1.002 | . 974 |
| 6 |  | 67.67 | 1. . 006 | . 972 |
|  | 7 | 72.95 | 1.007 | . 996 |
| 7 |  | 79.13 | . . | . . |
|  | 8 | 87.91 | 1.011 | 987 |
| 8 |  | 93.13 | 1.009 | . 989 |
|  |  | Mean | 1.005 | . 990 |
|  |  | Mean dev. | 0.009 | 013 |

The quantitative comparison gives slight preference to non-planar models as the most acceptable ones since the quantitative agreement improves with increase in the extent of folding. The average deviation for planar models varies from 0.013 to 0.016 and for non-planar models from 0.008 to 0.013. The trend is seen by comparing models $\mathrm{G}_{180}$, $G_{1: 0}, G_{160}$ and $G_{150}$ for which the average deviations are $0.016,0.013,0.012$ and 0.010 , respectively.

It mnst be concluded that the planarity or non-planarity of the ring cannot be determined with certainty but it does appear possible that the ring is folded to an angle $\alpha$ of about 1.50 or $160^{\circ}$. Consideration of all acceptable models leads to a $C-C$ distance in the ring of $1.56 \pm 0.03 \AA$, and a sidechain distance $1.54 \pm 0.06 \AA . ;$ the average $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance is $1.65_{5} \pm 0.02 \AA$. The angle $\beta$ cannot be fixed accurately; its average value is $130^{\circ}$ with a maximum uncertainty of $\pm Q^{\circ}$.

## Discussion

The C-C bond distance found in methylcyclobutane is in good agreenent with the results obtained in related compounds. The C-C distance in cyclobutane has been found to be $1.56_{5} \pm 0.02$ A. 12 In methylenecyclobutane the values reported for the average $C \cdots$ bond length are $1.06 \pm 0.03$ A. ${ }^{\text {t. }}$ and $1.50 \pm 0.02 \hat{A}^{14}$; in dimethylketene dimer the value given is $1.56 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~A} .{ }^{10}$

It seems significant that in these compounds the bond length in the ring is only slightly higher than the value of $1.5+\hat{A}$. which is observed in many hydrocarbons. This is in contrast to the $\mathrm{C}-\dot{\mathrm{C}}$ distance of $1.60 \AA$. found in $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$. It appears plausible on the basis of the results obtained in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}{ }^{3}$ that the fluorine atoms cause this significant lengthening of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance. It should be noted that in the case of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$ it was found that the closest distance of approach of fluorine atoms attached to different carbon atoms is about 2.70 $A$., which is twice the van der Waals radius of fluorine. As preciously mentioned, essentially this same value ( 2.70 to $2.53 \AA$.) occurs in all acceptable models of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$. It thus appears probable that twice the ran der Waals radius of fluorine does represent the closest distance of approach of flloorine atoms which are not attached to the same carbon atom.
$A$ recent X-ray study ${ }^{16}$ of octachlorocyclobutane has shown that the ring in this compound is also folded to an angle of $160^{\circ}$. If the carbon ring in cyclobutane itself is planar, as is suggested by spectroscopic evidence, ${ }^{12}$ then the non-planar ring in $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}$ must be attributed to repulsions between the halogen atoms. There remains the possibility, however, that the carbon ring is nonplimar in cyclobutane and in derivatives such as methylcyclobutane.
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